

# **Contents**

<b>Chapter 1. Purpose and Need .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Purpose of and Need for Action.....	1
General Description of the Area .....	1
History and Background .....	2
Treaty of 1855 .....	2
Umatilla Project—1905 Authorization .....	2
Umatilla Basin Project—1988 Authorization .....	2
Operation and Location of Facilities.....	3
Lands Authorized for Water Delivery from the Umatilla Project and Temporary Water Service Contracts.....	4
Authorization .....	4
Relationship to Other Projects and Activities .....	4
Scoping Process and Issues.....	5
<b>Chapter 2. Alternatives .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Alternatives Considered in Detail.....	7
No Action Alternative.....	7
Partial Adjustment Alternative .....	7
Full Adjustment Alternative .....	8
Summary of the Alternatives .....	8
Summary Comparison of the Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives.....	8
Alternatives Considered but Eliminated From Detailed Study.....	10
<b>Chapter 3. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....</b>	<b>11</b>
Hydrology .....	11
Model Methodology.....	12
Modeled Alternatives.....	13
No Action Alternative.....	14
Partial Adjustment Alternative .....	16
Full Adjustment Alternative .....	17
Affected Environment.....	19
Environmental Consequences.....	20
Impacts Upstream of Westland Diversion .....	20
Impacts Downstream of the Dillon Diversion .....	21
Modeled Flows at Various Locations along the Umatilla River.....	22
Summary .....	30
Mitigation.....	31

Water Quality .....	31
Soils and Lands .....	33
Vegetation and Wildlife Habitat .....	36
Riparian Habitat .....	37
Wetlands .....	38
Fisheries .....	39
Threatened and Endangered Species .....	48
Recreation .....	54
Historic Properties .....	55
Socioeconomic Analysis .....	58
Environmental Justice .....	61
Indian Trust Assets .....	62
Indian Sacred Sites .....	63
Cumulative Effects.....	63
 <b>Chapter 4. Consultation and Coordination.....</b>	 <b>69</b>
Public Involvement .....	69
Cooperating Agencies.....	70
Agency Consultation and Coordination.....	71
 Distribution List.....	 73
List of Preparers.....	75
Environmental Commitments .....	77
Glossary .....	79
References.....	81
 Attachment A—Preconservation Scenario	
Attachment B—Comments and Responses	

## **Tables**

1    Features of the alternatives .....	9
2    Runoff volumes at PDTO+MYKO .....	13
3    No Action Alternative source water categories .....	15
4    Potential crop mixture used in No Action Alternative .....	15
5    Annual crop irrigation requirements for various crops at Hermiston, Oregon .....	16
6    Partial Adjustment Alternative source water categories .....	17
7    Full Adjustment Alternative source water categories .....	18
8    Full Adjustment Alternative total acres and McKay storage water delivered .....	18

9	Differences in acreage amounts in each subarea classified by source water category between the Full and Partial Adjustment Alternatives and the No Action Alternative.....	19
10	Modeled average monthly (1994-2002) flow and volume diversion differences between the two boundary adjustment alternatives, when compared to the No Action Alternative, at the Westland Diversion .....	21
11	Modeled average monthly (1994-2002) flow and volume return flow differences between the two adjustment alternatives, when compared to the No Action Alternative, as measured in the Umatilla River upstream of the West Extension Diversion.....	22
12	Mean flows at YOKO for wet, average, and dry years for the No Action, Partial Adjustment, and Full Adjustment Alternatives .....	23
13	Mean volume differences at YOKO for wet, average, and dry years for the Partial Adjustment and Full Adjustment Alternatives when compared to the No Action Alternative .....	24
14	Estimated mean flows at UMUO for wet, average, and dry years for the No Action, Partial Adjustment, and Full Adjustment Alternatives .....	25
15	Mean volume differences at UMUO for wet, average, and dry years for the Partial Adjustment and Full Adjustment Alternatives when compared to the No Action Alternative.....	25
16	Estimated mean flows at UMDO for wet, average, and dry years for the No Action, Partial Adjustment, and Full Adjustment Alternatives .....	26
17	Estimated mean flows at UMAO for wet, average, and dry years for the No Action, Partial Adjustment, and Full Adjustment Alternatives .....	27
18	Mean volume differences at UMAO for wet, average, and dry years for the Partial Adjustment and Full Adjustment Alternatives when compared to the No Action Alternative .....	28
19	Estimated mean flows at MCKO for wet, average, and dry years for No Action, Partial Adjustment, and Full Adjustment Alternatives .....	29
20	Mean volume differences at MCKO for wet, average, and dry years for the Partial Adjustment and Full Adjustment Alternatives when compared to the No Action Alternative .....	29
21	Critical arability factors for predominant soils in the area .....	34
22	Acreage summary, March 1994, land classification report .....	35
23	Fisheries found in the lower Umatilla River.....	40
24	Key species season of use for the Umatilla River below McKay Creek .....	41
25	Federally listed threatened and endangered species potentially occurring in the project area .....	49
26	Umatilla and Morrow County statistics .....	59
27	Current Umatilla Basin Project target flows, McKay Creek to mouth .....	66

## **Figures**

Proposed Boundary Adjustments, Westland Irrigation District (map) .frontispiece	
1    No Action Alternative boundaries (map).....	follows page 8
2    Partial Adjustment boundaries (map) .....	follows page 8
3    Full Adjustment boundaries (map) .....	follows page 8
4    Major gauging stations on the Umatilla River.....	12
5    Average (1994-2002) monthly potential crop irrigation requirements and average monthly modeled depletions for in-boundary lands for the No Action Alternative .....	17
6    Regional output by industry .....	58
7    Regional employment percentages by industry .....	59
8    Comparison of flows before and after pump exchange .....	67